

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/044,240	04/07/1993	MICHAEL MC HALE	1391-1275	6731	
28455	7590 10/11/2005		EXAMINER		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DREYFUS 28455 ER GILSON & LIONE	HENDRICKS, KEITH D			
P.O. BOX 103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, II	L 60610		1761	-	

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,							
	TA	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	0	8/044,240	MC HALE ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	E	xaminer	Art Unit	-			
	K	eith Hendricks	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 22 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folk places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	owir lotic nce	ng replies: (1) an amendment, aff e of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 16 June 2005. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
AMENDIMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because							
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 							
appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112 2 nd paragraph.							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			II be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: none.							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8-10,15,18-21,28,51-56 and 65-6</u>	8.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 7, 11-14, 16-17,		<u>1 22-27</u> .					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	4 1	reference on the data of filing a Ne	ation of Appendiculture	at he entered			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd s	sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	s necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filingentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 	ove ary a	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a I).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
 The request for reconsideration has been considered been see attached page. 	out c	loes NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (P	TO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				
13. DOther:See attached Pro-892							



Attachment to Advisory Action

Continuation of #11:

- (a) The rejections under 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph, have been withdrawn in light of applicant's response.
- (b) Applicant's response does not overcome the prior art rejections, and said rejections are maintained for the reasons set forth on the record. Multiple designs for chewing gum products were known throughout the art, as indicated by several prior art references, including Faust, and Jones. As previously stated on the record, different choices of designs for chewing gum products were well within the ordinary level of skill in the art, and it is not seen how a simple choice of ornamental design of a chewing gum product -- including choosing whether to have a pattern on one side or both sides -- would provide a patentable feature. This does not present any new utility or function to the chewing gum product, and the prior art provides ample means for producing such a product; therefore applicant's claims cannot be said to be patentable over the multitude of known prior art products and methods.
- (c) Regarding claim 22, this is still withdrawn from consideration for the reasons of record. This claim depends from claim 16, which is directed to a non-elected invention, and thus claim 22 possesses all the limitations of this non-elected invention. The non-elected invention is simply further limited in certain ways by it's dependent claims, in this case, claim 22, but this does not provide claim 22 with distinctness from claim 16.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Keith Hendricks whose telephone number is (571) 272-1401. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30am-6pm); First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KEITH HENDRICKS PRIMARY EXAMINER